The evolution of the kinematic analysis to obtain the distance covered by basketball players.
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: The methods used to evaluate the distances covered by basketball players change much over time. With technological and computational advances, now you can perform the tracking of the players in real game situation, without interfering with the performance, or the rules of the sport.

Objectives: To conduct a literature review of the findings related to distances covered by male basketball players being in competition situation or not and their methodologies used to obtain the results.

Methods: A literature review (GOOGLE SCHOLAR and LILACS) was performed to collect articles about the results of the distances covered by elite basketball players and sub-elite, male, tests or competitions and the methodologies used to obtain these results.

Results: According to the literature the methodologies used can be divided in three parts: a) methodologies for subjective direct observation of the games; b) observation from filming; c) Tracking players in image sequences. Four papers were found to direct observation methodology games, four works for observation methodology from filming and five papers for players tracking methodology from filming, totaling 13 projects, 11 articles, a dissertation and a monograph.

Conclusion: The methods used have allowed the determination of distances accurately and analysis could differentiate the players and functions in different cases, with several factors involved. The distance covered is very important for physical and technical trainers basketball teams to standardize and periodization of training of athletes and individual or collective, and to improve the performance of the players during the games.

Keywords: Basketball kinematic analysis, distances covered, tracking.

INTRODUCTION
The methods used to evaluate the distances covered by basketball players have suffered major changes over time. At first, the researchers found some limitations due to the lack of validated assessment instruments. With technological and computational advancement, now we can perform tracking of the players in real game situation, without interfering in the performance, or in the rules of the sport, using footage. Records found about the values of distance covered by basketball players are very relevant to coaches and physical trainers, because the periodization of training can be performed in a specific and proper way.

The previous analyzes show results referring to distances covered by players and also for functions performed on the team. Studies found in the literature on distances covered in basketball games can be divided according to the methodology used for the evaluation, such as: a) methodologies for subjective direct observation of the games; b) observation from filming; c) tracking players in image sequences.

Initially, the used methodologies resorted to viewing of the games by an observer who later portrayed the actions that occurred during the matches. The observation of games proved as an indispensable mean for the characterization of the specific requirements that are imposed on players during the competition. In this sense, since the 70s, time-motion analysis (TMA) have been used in basketball, allowing to identify the number and types of movements performed as well as the different technical and tactics actions developed by the players.¹ ² ³ ⁴

Researchers in the late 80’s began to use video cameras to record the games and subsequently perform the counting of the steps taken by the players to calculate the distance, still using the average value of the step, which allowed obtaining...
more precise and accurate values. Some authors performed
the analyses dividing players into three functions performed
at Court, as follows: shipowners, wings and pivots. They also
separated the distances covered by speed, featuring the
different intensities of effort.\[^{9,10,11,12,13}\]

Technologies based on Video analysis have been used to
evaluate the performance of athletes in simulated games
and especially during competitions; the use of computational
software provides more robust and accurate results on the
athletes’ performance. The tracking of the players from the
filming can be performed manually or automatically, in 2D
or 3D. The advantage of performing the tracking of players
after the filming is that evaluation is performed without
interfering with the athletes’ performance and the rules of
the game.\[^{5,6,7,8}\]

However, the aim of this study was to conduct a literature
review on the findings related to distances covered by male
basketball players in situations of competition or not and their
methodologies used to obtain the results.

METHOD

A review of the literature was conducted to collect articles
about the results of the distances covered by elite and sub-elite
basketball players, male, in tests or in competitions and the
methods used to obtain these results, through the electronic
databases LILACS and GOOGLE SCHOLAR, as well as in the
literature available on the internet in order to identify the
research material in the available and updated bibliographies
in English and Portuguese. It was used the following keywords:
Basquetebol, distâncias percorridas, rastreamento, análise
cinemática, Basketball, distance covered, tracking, and
kinematical analysis.

Inclusion criteria involved the works that presented results
on the distances covered by basketball players. After a careful
review of titles and articles surveyed, were selected those
that had the characteristics highlighted keywords studied,
were obtained 11 articles, a dissertation and a monograph,
properly cited in reference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The presentation of the results is divided into three
parts, the first part shows the results found in the literature
regarding the distance covered based on the direct subjective
methodology of games, the second part shows the studies
that performed the observation from filming and calculated
the distances covered by the players and finally, the third and
last part shows the authors who have used specific software
and the tracking players in image sequences.

Subjective direct observation of the games

During the games the observers counted the total number
of steps made by the players and then multiplied by an average
value of step previously obtained.

Gradowska\[^{11}\] quantified the number of steps of the
senior men’s national team of basketball players from Poland
during the European Championship of 1971, through direct
observation to discuss the physical performance during the
games and the average distance covered per game
was 3809.0 meters. This study was the first one found in
literature that presents data of distance covered in basketball.
Colli and Faina\[^{12}\] evaluated the distance covered by a player
from Portugal team and obtained an average distance
of 2292.0 meters per game. Konzag and Frey\[^{13}\] observed 12 games
of the first division of Italian basketball and the results
obtained for the average distance covered by the players was
3490.0 meters. Whereas Soares\[^{4}\] with the same methodology
found an average distance covered of 4480.0 meters per game
for Russia team.

Observation from filming

Moreno\[^{5}\] filmed six of the 24ª Spanish League games for
later analysis, obtaining an average value of 5763.0 meters
per player. Of this value, 3091 meters were covered in trot
and 1577 meters in fast speed. The rest of the distance
was covered in recovery pace (828 meters) and maximum
effort (267 meters). This study was one of the first studies
that used the methodology based on the observation of
shooting basketball games. Brandão\[^{8}\] analyzed three games
of school teams in which the average distance covered was
5985.0 meters. Shipowners covered an average distance
of 5952.0 meters, wings covered 6029.0 meters and pivots
covered 5985.0 meters during a match.

Janeira\[^{7}\] utilized the video recording for later analysis
and determined the distances covered by players through an
image of basketball field. To account the distances covered, the
author resorted to the use of a scanning table connected to
the computer. The author has separated the distances covered
by speed range as follows: walk, trot, running and running in
maximum effort. The average distance covered per player was
4953.0 meters, being 1838.0 meters walking, 1902.0 meters
trotting, 734.0 meters running and 478.0 meters in maximum
effort. Brandão et al.\[^{6}\] analyzed six games at men’s senior rank
(national team of Portugal) and men’s cadet (Centro de Alto
Rendimento do Porto - High Performance Center of Porto)
obtaining 3738.4 meters of average distance covered by a
cadet player and 3037.6 meters by a senior player.

Tracking players in image sequences

The use of computational software provides more robust
and accurate results on the athletes’ performance. Now will
be presented five papers found in the literature about the
tracking of basketball players, their distances covered and the
software used to obtain the results.

Erčulj et al.\[^{3}\] introduced the Sagit measurement system and
their aim was to establish the distance covered and average
speed of basketball players, using this system. The Sagit
system was used to track the movements of 23 basketball players from two teams during three games of the National Male Championship Slovenia playoffs. During the 40 minutes of the game active phase the players roamed an average of 4404 meters and during the game passive phase they roamed an additional of 1831 meters. The average speed of the players in active phase of the game was 1.86 m/s. The differences between the teams in terms of average speed and distance covered were not statistically significant.

Abdelkrim\textsuperscript{10} presented the distances covered by 18 non-professional Tunisians basketball players for six games, separated into three functions: shipowners, wings and pivots. The result found to the average of distance covered of the three functions was 7558.0 ± 575.0 m by tracking using the PC Teams Sports 4.0 software.

Abdelkrim et al.\textsuperscript{11} compared the distances covered between the five positions of players from three basketball teams (under-18, under-20 and senior) and obtained the following results: nine Shipowners (2724 ± 711 m), nine Wings-shipowner (1907 ± 577 m), nine Wings (2031 ± 867 m), nine Wings-pivot (2067 ± 837 m) and nine Pivots (1227 ± 484 m). The values were estimated from the YO-YO 1R1 test. The PC Teams Sports 4.0 software was used for the tracking.

Scanlan et al.\textsuperscript{12} described the physical differences between professional and non-professional athletes. The matches of the professional players were filmed at a frequency of 25 Hz and the matches of the amateur players at a frequency of 7.5 Hz. Both recordings were analyzed and the manual tracking was performed at the Labview software (National Instruments, TX, USA). The distances covered by players were calculated for the frontcourt (shipowner and wings) and backcourt (pivots) functions. Professional players of frontcourt roamed 6390.0 m (± 48.0) and players of backcourt roamed 6230.0 m (± 26.0). Whereas the sub-elite players of frontcourt roamed 6269.0 m (± 928.0) and the players of backcourt roamed 6034.0 m (± 321.0) in two games, getting a significant difference between the groups.

The activities and stop periods over time of the game provides a useful vision about the fatigue of the players, tactics and strategies of the team and the athletes and team gameplay in sports such as basketball. The players were tracked manually by the Labview software (National Instruments, TX, USA). Therefore, Scanlan et al.\textsuperscript{13} quantified and compared the movements of 10 professional players and 12 semi-professional players during one quarter of the game and also during the whole game on four types of motion rating: low intensity (<3 m·s\textsuperscript{-1}), high intensity (>3 m·s\textsuperscript{-1}), change of direction and dribble. Several games were filmed and analyzed through the frequencies and durations of movements, total distances covered and average speeds. Professional and semi-professional players during the first, second, third and fourth periods of play roamed an average of, respectively, 1653 ± 38 m and 1549 ± 81 m, 1591 ± 24 m and 1601 ± 88 m, 1531 ± 72 m and 1501 ± 166 m, 1504 ± 21 m and 1557 ± 238 m.

The values of distance covered found are different possibly by several factors. First, the counting of steps during the game without verifiability may not obtain accurate totals; second, each game has its own characteristics regarding the variables that can influence on the distance covered, as total duration, number of changing side of the Court, among others; and finally, the use of software for tracking of players, with manual or automatic.

The kinematic analysis from the videogrammetry uses filming and specific software that does not interfere with the movement of the player or the official rules of the sport. Thus, data acquisition methodologies through computer tracking of the players on the Court are becoming an alternative for the acquisition of information about the events of the game. By tracking and reconstruction processes in real coordinates of the players on the Court, it is possible to get the player’s position in a function of time and, consequently, the distances covered, speeds and accelerations.

The technological evolution was of great importance for obtaining results on distance covered of basketball players, not only in testing situations, but mostly on actual games, which can optimize the training and improvement of the team’s results.\textsuperscript{13} Therefore, the control and analysis of kinematic variables of the players’ movements during the games should be the starting point for analyzing the requirements of the sport.

CONCLUSION

A physical evaluation more direct should include the use of methodologies that perform the analysis of the players’ movements. The physiological evidences and motion analysis may suggest that basketball is intermittent; however, there is a large variation in findings about basketball, using the analysis of the players’ movements, which is probably due to the different methodologies used.

The technologies related to analysis of athletes’ performance have increased due to the interest of researchers in studying different aspects of sports and athletes specifically. The information related to the games, as for example, the distances covered has great importance for coaches and physical trainers of basketball teams for the standardization and periodization of the athletes training, being individual or collective, and for improving the players’ performance during the games.

The methods used allow determining accurately these distances covered, and the analyses were able to differentiate players and functions in different cases, with several factors involved. However, it is important to emphasize the computational cost of manual tracking, indicating the need for investment in automatization to basketball, in order to produce reliable information which will allow a significant increase in
the number of analyzed games that can be available more quickly to technical committees of the teams, as well as the production of new scientific analyses.
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